

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7824	
10/029,818	12/31/2001	Joyce Brett	P-3206-15		
75	90 05/07/2003				
MYRON AMER, P.C.			EXAMINER		
114 Old Country Suite 310	y Road	CHOP, ANDREA MARIE			
Mineola, NY 1	1501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	•						
		Application No. 10/029,818	Applicant(s)	Brett	Λ		
Office Action Summary		Examiner Andrea Chop		Art Unit 3677			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet wi	th the corres	spondence addre	ss		
	for Reply				$\mathcal{A} \setminus$		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3_	МОПТН	H(S) FROM			
- Extens mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABA	(30) days will b IS from the maili NDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this commu S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on (11/29/0	2 Amendment and De	eclaration a	nd 1/22/03 Sup	p Amendmen .		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1		is/are	pending in the	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/ar	e withdrawn fr	om consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are subje	ect to restric	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.		
Applica	ition Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	s/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).		
11)⊡	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□	approved	b)□ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha			-	<u> </u>		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of	documents have been	received in	this National S	itage		

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,818 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification fails to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, i.e. fails to provide an enabling disclosure.

It is not clear how the overlapping release strips serve as a closure for the venting openings keeping the flow of the viscous adhesive in the venting openings, since the release strips are located below the gauze pad; it appears that the gauze pad actually serves as the closure for the venting openings, keeping the flow of the viscous adhesive in the venting openings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vesey US

6,455,752 in view of Arginsky US D 340,988.

Vesey shows an adhesive bandage with a central gauze pad and adhesive strips with

overlapping release strips (Fig. 2), and a jewelry display 14, but lacks venting openings. Arginsky

teaches the use of venting openings in an adhesive bandage. It would have been obvious to a

person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the adhesive

bandage to have venting openings in view of Arginsky in order to provide a means of allowing the

passage of air to the wound to help heal the wound.

Response to Remarks

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,818 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Patent Customers Advised to FAX Communications to the USPTO

6. In view of delays in mail delivery in recent days, we at the USPTO would like to encourage you to communicate with the USPTO via facsimile. Facsimile transmissions may be used for correspondence as set forth in 37 CFR 1.6 such as: amendments, petitions for extension of time, authorization to charge a deposit account, an IDS, terminal disclaimers, a notice of appeal, an appeal brief, CPAs under 37 CFR 1.53(d), and RCEs.

PTO Form 2038 should be used when authorizing payment by credit card; this form is maintained separate from the file to ensure confidentiality.

The USPTO has recently installed server software that enables us to automatically receive facsimile transmissions and route them to the appropriate groups. No special equipment is needed by our customers to use this system other than a regular facsimile machine. Each Technology

Art Unit: 3677

Center has its own facsimile numbers associated with our server for Official replies to non-final Office actions and for Official replies to final Office actions. In addition, each Technology Center has a Customer Service Center on our server system and can answer any general application status questions you might have, can provide Examiner information and answer paper queries.

The following is a list of all Official Facsimile numbers for Technology Center 3600:

TC 3600:

Before Final 703-872-9326

After Final 703-872-9327

Customer Service 703-872-9325

By using the Official Before Final and After Final numbers, our server system will automatically generate a return receipt that will include the number of pages received as well as the date and time the facsimile was received. Additionally, the return receipt will include an image of the received cover page. Applicants are advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to a non-final or final Office action by facsimile (see 37 CFR 1.6 and 1.8). Applicants are also advices to retain the return receipt in the event that the Office has no record of the facsimile submission, whether the facsimile submission is a reply to an Office action (37 CFR 1.8(b)), or a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d)) (37 CFR 1.6(f)).

Art Unit: 3677

Note, however, the Office currently does not permit new application filings (other than a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d)), requests for reexamination, drawings, and certain correspondence set forth in 37 CFR 1.6(d) by facsimile.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea Chop whose telephone number is (703) 305-6358.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.